# **Exposure-Response Analyses of Ocrelizumab** in Patients With Multiple Sclerosis

# SL Hauser<sup>1</sup>, A Bar-Or<sup>2</sup>, MS Weber<sup>3</sup>, E Gibiansky<sup>4</sup>, H Kletzl<sup>5</sup>, C Petry<sup>5</sup>, F Mercier<sup>5</sup>, A Guenther<sup>5</sup>, A Herman<sup>6</sup>, F Model<sup>5</sup>, Q Wang<sup>5</sup>, G-A Thanei<sup>5</sup>, H Koendgen<sup>5</sup>, L Kappos<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology and Center for Neuroinflammation and Experimental Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, PA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Universitätsmedizin Göttingen, Germany; <sup>4</sup>QuantPharm LLC, North Potomac, MD, USA; <sup>5</sup>F. Hoffmann-La Roche Innovation Center, Basel, Switzerland; <sup>6</sup>Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>7</sup>University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

# **CONCLUSIONS**

- A greater depletion of circulating B cells was associated with higher exposure in patients with RMS and PPMS
- Clinical (ARR) and MRI outcomes were independent of exposure (potential ceiling effect)
- Higher ocrelizumab exposure was associated with a greater risk reduction in CDP (RMS and PPMS)
- The greater risk reduction in CDP observed with higher ocrelizumab exposure in patients with RMS or PPMS suggests that higher ocrelizumab exposure (and greater B-cell depletion) is important for control of disability progression
- These analyses are limited by confounding factors such as weight, region and sex on exposure; multivariate analyses will be reported in manuscript form (under preparation)

# INTRODUCTION

- Ocrelizumab (OCR) is a CD20<sup>+</sup> B-cell-selective monoclonal antibody approved for treatment of relapsing multiple sclerosis (RMS) and primary progressive MS (PPMS)
- The efficacy and safety of OCR in patients with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS),<sup>1</sup> RMS (OPERA I and OPERA II)<sup>2</sup> or PPMS (ORATORIO)<sup>3</sup> have been reported previously
- OCR population pharmacokinetics (popPK) fit a two-compartment model with time-dependent clearance and body weight as the main covariate<sup>4</sup>

# **OBJECTIVES**

### **Analyses in patients with RMS**

## **Analyses in patients with PPMS**





Peripheral B-cell levels in individual patients were determined by flow cytometry at trough ocrelizumab concentrations immediately prior to the next dose. The cut-off of <5 cells/µL relates to assay sensitivity limits. Q, exposure quartile.

# **Figure 2. T1 gadolinium-enhancing lesions stratified by exposure**







 The objectives of this presentation are to: 1.) explore the relationship between popPK-based ocrelizumab exposure and circulating B-cell levels; 2.) describe the population exposure-efficacy/safety relationships of OCR in Phase III studies in patients with MS; **3.)** investigate the correlation between circulating B-cell levels and disability progression in patients with MS

# **METHODS**

#### **Clinical Studies**

• The methodologies of the Phase II study in patients with RRMS<sup>1</sup> and the Phase III studies in patients with RMS<sup>2</sup> or PPMS<sup>3</sup> have been reported previously — Data cut: February 2018

#### **Population Pharmacokinetic Modelling**

Exposure quartiles (Q) are derived from the mean OCR concentration of individual patients across the treatment period from the popPK model<sup>4</sup>

#### **Clinical Outcomes**

- Exposure–response analyses are based on Phase III RMS<sup>2</sup> or PPMS<sup>3</sup> study endpoints:
- Annualised relapse rate (ARR; RMS only); 24-week confirmed disability progression (CDP); MRI outcomes; safety
- CDP defined as an increase from the baseline Expanded Disability Status Scale score of at least 1.0 point (or 0.5 points if the baseline score was >5.5)

# RESULTS

#### **Baseline Demographic Characteristics**

- Predictable trends in baseline demographics correlating with exposure on a mg/kg basis (e.g. age, sex and body mass index [BMI]) were observed across OCR exposure-stratified quartiles in patients with RMS (**Table 1**) or PPMS (**Table 2**)
- There was a trend for a lower number of T1 gadolinium-enhancing lesions (RMS and PPMS) and T2 lesions (RMS only) in lower exposure quartiles

#### **B-Cell Depletion**

• The proportion of patients with peripheral B-cell levels <5 cells/µL correlated with higher OCR exposure in patients with RMS or PPMS (**Figure 1**)

#### **MRI Outcomes**

• OCR reduced T1 gadolinium-enhancing (Figure 2) and new/enlarging T2 MRI lesion counts (**QR Figure 3**) to nearly undetectable levels across exposure quartiles

#### Annualised Relapse Rate (RMS only)

• OCR reduced ARR to low levels (0.13–0.18) across exposure quartiles (**QR Figure 4**)

#### **Disability Progression**

• The effect of OCR on CDP was exposure dependent (Figure 5) — Sex, age and body weight/BMI were the main confounders of these analyses



# **Figure 5. Disability progression stratified by exposure**



Hazard ratios and p values are ocrelizumab exposure subgroups versus overall comparator arm. BL, baseline; CDP, confirmed disability progression; IFN, interferon β-1a; OCR, ocrelizumab; PBO, placebo; Q, quartile.

#### **Figure 6. Disability progression stratified by BMI**



- An exposure effect trend was observed in CDP stratified by BMI (**Figure 6**)
- Lower median peripheral B-cell levels in patients with RMS were associated with lower rates of CDP; no association in patients with PPMS was demonstrated (Figure 7)

#### **Safety**

• Safety parameters were similar across exposure quartiles (**QR Figure 8**)

**Please scan here for ARR, T2 lesion and safety figures** 

 
 Table 1. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics
(RMS)

Parameter	<b>Quartile 1</b> Min-15.4 μg/mL (N=194)	<b>Quartile 2</b> 15.4–18.7 μg/mL (N=197)	<b>Quartile 3</b> 18.7–22.2 μg/mL (N=196)	<b>Quartile 4</b> 22.2–Max μg/mL (N=197)
Age, mean (SD), years	38.2 (8.6)	37.8 (9.2)	36.6 (9.7)	36.4 (9.3)
<b>Female,</b> n (%)	109 (55.6)	107 (54.3)	132 (67.3)	163 (82.7)
Weight, median (range), kg	89.1 (48.9–170.0)	78.8 (48.9–123.0)	67.0 (46.0–108.0)	60.0 (38.0–96.6)
BMI, median (range), kg/m <sup>2</sup>	29.4 (17.3–61.7)	26.3 (17.9–43.8)	23.4 (17.2–37.5)	21.8 (15.2–38.2)
<b>Region,</b> n (%)				
USA	64 (32.7)	49 (24.9)	48 (24.5)	37 (18.8)
RoW	132 (67.3)	148 (75.1)	148 (75.5)	160 (81.2)
<b>T1 gadolinium-enhancing</b> <b>lesions</b> present, n (%)	72 (37.1)	73 (37.4)	86 (44.1)	86 (44.6)
Non-enhancing T1 lesion volume, mean (SD), cm <sup>3</sup>	3.78 (7.16)	2.86 (5.20)	3.80 (6.18)	3.59 (5.71)
<b>T2 lesions,</b> mean (SD), n	45.02 (37.25)	48.76 (37.13)	53.48 (40.52)	53.65 (40.06)
<b>T2 lesion volume.</b> mean (SD). cm <sup>3</sup>	10.45 (14.30)	9.31 (12.72)	12.07 (14.94)	11.65 (14.81)



Parameter	<b>Quartile 1</b> Min–15.8 μg/mL (N=120)	<b>Quartile 2</b> 15.8–18.9 μg/mL (N=121)	<b>Quartile 3</b> 18.9–23.2 μg/mL (N=120)	<b>Quartile 4</b> 23.2–Max μg/mL (N=121)
Age, mean (SD), years	45.3 (7.5)	44.2 (7.7)	45.3 (7.4)	44.1 (8.8)
<b>Female,</b> n (%)	32 (26.7)	58 (47.9)	57 (47.5)	89 (73.6)
Weight, median (range), kg	84.3 (46.0–135.9)	74.4 (45.8–125.0)	68.2 (45.9–115.5)	56.3 (40.2–93.5)
BMI, median (range), kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.6 (17.0–45.6)	25.2 (16.7–46.4)	23.6 (15.6–46.2)	21.3 (15.2–30.4)
<b>Region,</b> n (%)				
USA	24 (20.0)	16 (13.2)	16 (13.3)	9 (7.4)
RoW	96 (80.0)	105 (86.8)	104 (86.7)	112 (92.6)
<b>T1 gadolinium-enhancing</b> <b>lesions</b> present, n (%)	30 (25.4)	31 (25.6)	33 (27.7)	38 (31.7)
Non-enhancing T1 lesion volume, mean (SD), cm <sup>3</sup>	6.19 (10.16)	4.90 (7.17)	5.23 (7.96)	4.40 (5.67)
T2 lesions, mean (SD), n	44.36 (35.19)	52.08 (41.23)	46.53 (39.06)	51.62 (37.12)
<b>T2 lesion volume,</b> mean (SD), cm <sup>3</sup>	14.48 (19.43)	12.40 (14.63)	12.34 (14.12)	11.63 (11.59)





**Poster 93** 

#### Hazard ratios and p values are BMI subgroups versus overall comparator arm.

BL, baseline; BMI, body mass index; CDP, confirmed disability progression; IFN, interferon β-1a; OCR, ocrelizumab; PBO, placebo; Q, quartile.

#### Figure 7. Disability progression stratified by B-cell depletion level



Exposure quartiles are based on predicted individual patient mean OCR concentrations (ratio of AUC to the time of the last dose plus 24 weeks and the time from baseline until the last dose plus 24 weeks) across the treatment period. The mean OCR concentration (in patients receiving all planned doses) corresponds to the whole treatment period (RMS, 96 weeks; PPMS, duration varied due to the event-driven study design). AUC, area under the exposure curve; BMI, body mass index; RoW, Rest of World.

0 - BL 24 48 72 96 120 144 168 192 216 240 264 288 312 Time to onset of CDP (weeks)	0	0 - EL 24 48 72 96 120 144 168 192 216 240 264 288 312 336 Time to onset of CDP (weeks)	0 - BL 12 24 36 48 60 72 84 96 108 120 132 144 156 168 180 192204216228 Time to onset of CDP (weeks)
826 747 677 623 588 523 508 489 473 451 433 279 125 18		PBO 239 210 189 172 154 136 120 106 98 89 85 74 32 17 2	
R: 0 cells 125 122 115 114 110 101 100 94 91 91 91 64 34 6	112 106 102 94 93 91 86 58 31	OCR: 0 cells 138 135 126 114 101 91 87 85 75 69 64 56 30 12 2	119 109 103 92 82 72 61 34 14 3
R: 1–5 cells 503 493 475 465 448 420 407 389 376 361 346 227 96 20	466 439 423 399 381 365 338 212 83	OCR: 1-5 cells 270 264 248 228 210 190 178 168 153 140 128 112 67 38 11	238 221 205 182 166 154 122 78 39 9
R: >5 cells 151 149 137 123 118 108 102 96 94 93 91 64 24 2	122 114 103 96 92 89 86 57 27	OCR: >5 cells 60 57 50 47 42 37 36 34 31 28 25 23 12 6 1	43 39 36 33 32 27 23 10 3 1

B-cell subgroups are based on median individual patient pre-infusion CD19 measurements during the double-blind period (baseline-Week 96 for RMS; Week 120 for PPMS). Graphs A) and C): p values are based on log-rank test of: a) IFN/OCR (RMS) and >0 B-cell subgroups or b) PBO (PPMS) and >0 B-cell subgroups, respectively, versus 0 B-cell subgroup. Graphs B) and D): Hazard ratios and p values are >0 B-cell subgroups versus 0 B-cell subgroup from the double-blind period. BL, baseline; CDP, confirmed disability progression; IFN, interferon β-1a; OCR, ocrelizumab; OLE, open-label extension; PBO, placebo.

# **REFERENCES**

- Kappos L, et al. Lancet 2011;378:1779-1787.
- 2. Hauser SL, et al. N Engl J Med 2017;376:221–234.
- Montalban X, et al. N Engl J Med 2017;376:209–220.
- 4. Hauser SL, et al. AAN 2019;Platform presentation 001.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all patients, their families, and the investigators who participated in these trials. This research was funded by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland. Writing and editorial assistance for this presentation was provided by Articulate Science, UK, and funded by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland.

# **DISCLOSURES**

vith

of

**SL Hauser** serves on the board of trustees for Neurona and on scientific advisory boards for Alector, Annexon, Bionure, Molecular Stethoscope and Symbiotix, and has received travel reimbursement and writing assistance from F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd for CD20-related meetings and presentations. A Bar-Or has received consulting fees from Actelion, Atara Biotherapeutics, Biogen Idec, Celgene/Receptos, Genentech/Roche, MAPI, MedImmune, Merck/EMD Serono, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, GlaxoSmithKline and Brainstorm. He has carried out contracted research for Genentech and Biogen. He receives a salary from The University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine. MS Weber receives research support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; WE 3547/5-1), from Novartis, Teva, Biogen Idec, Roche, Merck and the ProFutura Program of the Universitätsmedizin Göttingen. He is serving as an editor for PLoS One. He has received travel funding and/or speaker honoraria from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Teva, Bayer and Genzyme. E Gibiansky is a paid consultant for F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. H Kletzl is an employee of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. C Petry is an employee and shareholder of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. F Mercier is an employee of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. A Herman is an employee of Genentech, Inc., and a shareholder of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. F Model is an employee and shareholder of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. Q Wang is an employee of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. G-A Thanei is an employee and shareholder of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. H Koendgen is an employee and shareholder of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. L Kappos's institution (University Hospital Basel) received in the last 3 years and used exclusively for research support at the Department: steering committee, advisory board and consultancy fees from Actelion, Alkermes, Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Celgene/Receptos, df-mp, Excemed, GeNeuro SA, Genzyme, Japan Tobacco, Merck, Minoryx, Mitsubishi Pharma Novartis, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Sanofi-Aventis, Santhera, Teva, Vianex and licence fees for Neurostatus-UHB products; the Research of the MS Center in Basel has been supported by grants from Bayer, Biogen, Novartis, the Swiss MS Society, the Swiss National Research Foundation, Innoswiss, the European Union and Roche Research Foundations. In the last year, his institution (The Research of the MS Center in Basel) has been supported by grants and support (Actelion, Bayer, Baxalta, Biogen, Celgene/Receptos, CSL Behring, Desitin, Genzyme, Japan Tobacco, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Santhera and Teva).

Presented at the 27th Annual Meeting of the European Charcot Foundation (ECF); 21–23 November 2019; Baveno, Italy